

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,767	02/10/2004	Andrew H. Fischer	U0120.70019US00	8715
29528 7590 0821/2008 WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			BEISNER, WILLIAM H	
BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/775,767 FISCHER, ANDREW H. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit WILLIAM H. BEISNER 1797 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 June 2008 and 04 June 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)\(\times \) Claim(s) 1.2.6-8.10-12.15-18.26-42.44-53.55-57.60-79.81-84 and 86-94 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,6-8,10-12,15-18,26-42,44-53,55-57,60-79,81-84 and 86-94 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/2/08 and 6/4/08.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/775,767 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

 A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/2/2008 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

 The information disclosure statements filed 6/2/2008 and 6/4/2008 have been considered and made of record.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 1 of the specification, the reference to the parent application includes the wrong filing date. The date should be "10/30" rather than "10/31".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

 Claim 81 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 81 depends from canceled claim 80. It appears that the claim should depend from claim 79. Appropriate correction is required.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/775,767

Art Unit: 1797

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, II F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longt, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 642 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January I, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 10-12, 15-18, 26-42, 44-53, 55-57, 60-79, 81-84 and 86-94 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,913,921 in view of McCormick (US 4,569,647) and Williamson, IV et al.(US 5,817,032) alone or taken further in view of Louder et al.(US 4,141,312).

Claims 1-18 of US Patent No. 6,913,921 encompass a device for embedding cell samples.

The claims differ by failing to recite method steps of using the recited device.

The references of McCormick and Williamson both disclose automated methods for automating a cell sample embedding process. Art Unit: 1797

The reference of Louder et al. discloses the use of a heater with an embedding container for holding a cell sample filter device.

In view of this teachings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the device of the patented claims for performing cell embedding methods as suggested by the references of McCormick and Williamson alone or further in view of Louder et al. (See the prior art rejections in the final office action dated 2/22/2008 regarding the detailed disclosures of the references of McCormick, Williamson and Louder et al).

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments, see pages 14-17, filed 6/2/2008, with respect to the rejection of Claims 16, 17 and 26-94 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, of Claims 16, 17 and 26-94 has been withdrawn.
- 8. Applicant's arguments, see pages 17-19, filed 6/2/2008, with respect to the rejection of Claims 1-8, 10-12, 15-18 and 26-52 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCormick (US 4,569,647) in view of Williamson, IV et al.(US 5,817,032) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of Claims 1-8, 10-12, 15-18 and 26-52 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCormick (US 4,569,647) in view of Williamson, IV et al.(US 5,817,032) has been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/775,767

Art Unit: 1797

9. With respect to the rejection of Claims 1-8, 10-12, 15-18 and 26-94 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,913,921 in view of McCormick (US 4,569,647) and Williamson, IV et al.(US 5,817,032) alone or taken further in view of Louder et al.(US 4,141,312), Applicants state the following:

"Without acceding to proprietary of these rejections, Applicant will submit a Terminal Disclaimer, upon an indication of the allowability of the pending claims."

In response, a Terminal Disclaimer has not been filed and/or made of record. As a result, the rejection has been maintained.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WILLIAM H. BEISNER whose telephone number is (571)272-1269. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues. to Fri. and alt. Mon. from 6:15am to 3:45pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William H. Beisner/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797

WHB